<u>namic</u>

Stereoselective Synthesis of Rapamycin Fragment To Build a Macrocyclic Toolbox

Shiva Krishna Reddy Guduru,[†] Ravikumar Jimmidi,[†] Girdhar Singh Deora,[‡] and Prabhat Arya^{*,†}

† Dr. Reddy's Institute of Life Sciences (DRILS), University of Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad 500046, India ‡ School of Pharmacy, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A stereoselective synthesis of a rapamycin fragment is developed and further utilized toward building a macrocyclic chemical toolbox. The amino alcohol moiety embedded in the 22-membered macrocyclic ring allowed for the addition of a variation in the chiral side chain. The key reactions leading to the synthesis of the rapamycin-derived pyran fragment include the following: (i) Paterson aldol, (ii) stereoselective β -OH carbonyl reduction, and (iii) regio- and stereoselective intramolecular oxy-Michael reaction. The other piece needed for building the macrocyclic diversity was obtained from the coupling of various amino alcohol moieties with S-pipecolic acid.

apamycin (also known as sirolimus, 1, Figure 1), a secondary metabolite, was first isolated from soil

bacterium Streptomyces hygroscopicus at Easter Island (Rapa Nui) in the 1970s.¹ The pharmaceutical potential of rapamycin was originally discovered in a screen for novel antifungal agents. Later, it was foun[d](#page-3-0) to be an antibiotic and immunosuppressant and has been used for several years to prevent rejection in organ transplantation.² Currently, it is approved for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) .³ Rapamycin inhibits the activity of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and interacts in the mammalian cells with [t](#page-3-0)he immunophilin FKBP12, and the FKBP12−rapamycin complex allosterically inhibits mTORC1 kinase activity by an unknown mechanism.⁴ mTOR is an intracellular serine/threonine protein kinase that has a central role in various cellular processes, including ce[ll](#page-3-0) growth and proliferation, protein synthesis, and autophagy.⁵ In addition to this, the proliferative properties of rapamycin and its analogues are being extensively studied to search for promi[si](#page-3-0)ng anticancer agents.⁶ Multiple studies have also shown that rapamycin can provide therapeutic benefits in experimental models of sever[al](#page-3-0) age-related neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and Huntington's disease.⁷ Several studies have demonstrated that rapamycin is able to extend the lifespan in various species, including mice.⁸ Be[ca](#page-3-0)use of the multiplicity of the mTOR downstream signaling pathway, different molecular mechanisms have been pro[po](#page-3-0)sed for obtaining a better understanding of various biological functions of rapamycin.⁹

Received: December 3, 2014 Published: January 12, 2015

© 2015 American Chemical Society ⁴⁸⁰ DOI: 10.1021/ol5034833 **ACS** Publications

Because of these extensive biological properties, serious attention of the scientific community has been captured toward developing synthesis methods to obtain various rapamycin analogues and rapamycin-derived hybrid molecules.¹⁰ In many cases, these analogues as well as hybrid compounds have shown promising results toward the binding to FKBP12 an[d i](#page-3-0)ts impact on cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. $3a,11$ The remarkable biological properties of rapamycin and rapamycin-derived hybrid compounds also attracted our att[entio](#page-3-0)n in developing the diversity-based synthesis of a new family of hybrid macrocyclic compounds. Shown in Figure 1 is our generic macrocyclic structure 2 that utilizes a rapamycin fragment having a stereodefined pyran moiety. In o[ur](#page-0-0) diversity-based design strategy, we decided to keep the simplified version of the pyran ring of rapamycin lacking the −OH group at C-10 (replaced by C10−H) and the carbonyl moiety at the C-10 side chain. These two changes were planned to simplify our synthetic approach reaching the target. Our first goal was to develop a short and practical synthesis of this subunit shown in 2 and then to utilize this to building the macrocyclic diversity.

Our precise synthesis target (3) is shown in Scheme 1. In our design and synthesis approach, we divided 3 into two sections,

Scheme 1. Our Plan to Build Macrocycles Based on the Rapamycin Fragment

4 and 5. Fragment 5 has a diversity site (R_1) , and it can be easily obtained from S-pipecolic acid coupled to various amino alcohols having a terminal olefin. Following the coupling of 5 and 4 through an amide bond, we rely upon the ring-closing metathesis as the key 22-membered macrocyclic stitching reaction. The crucial pyran fragment 4 can be obtained from 6 by a regio- and stereocontrolled intramolecular oxy-Michael reaction. The 1,3-syn-dihydroxyl groups can be accessed by a stereoselective reduction of the β -OH keto derivative 7. Finally, we envisioned the synthesis of 7 using Paterson's facial-selective aldol reaction giving us the starting materials 8 and 9.

Our synthesis plan to obtain the keto fragment 9 is shown in Scheme 2. (E) -Ethyl 3-methyl-4-oxopent-2-enoate¹² 10 was subjected to keto protection (11) and ethylene glycol and then subjected to carboxyl ester reduction to give 12. [Th](#page-3-0)is upon allylation followed by the keto deprotection produced 9 in good yields.

The chiral aldehyde moiety 8 (P_1 = TBS) needed for the aldol reaction was obtained using Evans' Michael additionbased approach using tert-butyl acrylate 15 with oxazolidinone, 14 (Scheme 3). 13 The removal of an auxiliary led the synthesis of a primary alcohol which was then protected with TBS to

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Key Intermediate 20

provide 17. The tert-butyl ester was subjected to reduction with LAH to give 18, which was then oxidized with DMP to yield aldehyde 8 ($P_1 = TBS$).¹⁴ With freshly prepared aldehyde, we then subjected this to a diastereoselective aldol reaction with the keto fragment 9 usi[ng](#page-3-0) (−)-DIPCl-based Paterson aldol protocol.¹⁵ This reaction worked very well and provided the β -hydroxyl carbonyl compound 19 in good yield as the single diastereo[me](#page-3-0)r (HPLC purity >94%). The β -hydroxyl carbonyl compound 19 was then treated with $NaBH₄$ in the presence of Et₂BOMe for a 1,3-syn-stereoselective β -hydroxyl carbonyl reduction¹⁶ yielding syn-1,3-diol which was then protected with 2,2-dimethoxypropane. Finally, it was then treated with TBAF to yield t[he](#page-3-0) primary alcohol as the key intermediate 20. At this stage, the relative stereochemistry of $cis-1,3$ -diol (20) was assigned by 2D-NOESY experiments (see Scheme 3).

Our approach to regio- and stereocontrolled intramolecular oxy-Michael reaction is shown in Scheme 4.¹⁷ Compound 20 was oxidized to aldehyde with DMP and then subjected to Horner–Wittig reaction to obtain α , β -uns[at](#page-2-0)[ur](#page-3-0)ated ethyl ester 21 in good yield.¹⁸ The 1,3-diol was deprotected with PPTS and further treated with potassium tert-butoxide, which afforded a smooth intram[ole](#page-3-0)cular oxy-Michael cyclization giving 22 in 72% yield as a single diastereomer. The stereochemistry of the 2,6-cis-tetrahydropyran moiety (22) was assigned through the coupling constant of proton at C-10 and 2D-NOESY experiments. The details of the synthesis and the structural assignments are provided in the Supporting Information.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 2,6-cis-Tetrahydropyran Scaffold 22

The enantiopure 2,6-cis-tetrahydropyran moiety (22) was hydrolyzed with LiOH to obtain the carboxylic acid, which was then coupled with four secondary amines 24 (Scheme 5) using

EDC·HCl and HOBT as the coupling reagents to obtain $23.^{19}$ The synthesis details (with R_1 as the first diversity site) are provided in the Supporting Information. This was then treat[ed](#page-3-0) with acid chloride (as the second diversity site) to obtain the ring-closing metathesis precursor. Finally, the stage was set to try a crucial ring-closing metathesis stitching reaction for obtaining 22-membered ring macrolides. To our delight, the RCM approach gave the macrocyclic product (3) in all four cases in good yields and with only E-olefin geometry across the double bond.²⁰ The synthesis details and full characterization are provided in the Supporting Information.

As a test [s](#page-3-0)tudy, the molecular docking studies were performed to predict the key binding interactions of our rapamycin fragment-derived hybrid macrocycles with human immunophilin FKBP-12 and FKBP12-rapamycin associated protein complexed with human immunophilin. The docking studies predicted a good binding interactions of rapamycin analogues with both the target proteins (see Figure 2). The detailed information on this study is provided in the Supporting Information.

All our macrocyclic compounds occupy the binding pockets of proteins (see Figure 2A,B). Compound 3d showed good

Figure 2. (A) 3d at the binding pocket of human immunophilin FKBP-12. (B) Binding of 3d with human immunophilin FKBP-12 and FKBP12-rapamycin associated protein complex. (C) Interactions of 3d with the rapamycin binding site of human immunophilin FKBP-12. (D) Interactions of 3d with human immunophilin FKBP-12 and FKBP12-rapamycin associated protein complex.

binding with both protein targets. It interacts with Ile-56 (H-Bond), His-87 ($\pi-\pi$ interaction), and A:Ile-56, A:Gln-53 (Hbond) with human immunophilin FKBP-12 and FRAP-human immunophilin complex, respectively (Figure 2C,D). In the case of human immunophilin FKBP-12 and FKBP12-rapamycin associated protein complex, Ile-56 is a common hydrogenbonding residue except in the case of 3b. Detailed information on the binding interactions, glide score, and contributing XP parameters of macrocycles (3a−d) docking with the human immunophilin FKBP-12 and human immunophilin FKBP12- FRAP complexes is provided in the Supporting Information.

In summary, we developed a practical, stereoselective synthesis of rapamycin fragment-derived pyran moiety which was further utilized in building 22-membered macrocycles. The biological studies with these compounds are in progress and will be reported in due course.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Detailed experimental section and spectral data are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: prabhata@drils.org.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank DST and DBT (funding agencies from India) for the financial support. S.K.R.G. and R.J. thank CSIR-India for the award of Senior Research Fellowships. We thank the DRILS analytical facility team for providing excellent technical support. We offer our sincere thanks to Dr. Ben Ross, The University of Queensland, Australia, for assistance with molecular docking studies.

482

Organic Letters
■ REFERENCES Organic Letters **Letters and Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine Constantine**

(1) (a) Sehgal, S. N.; Baker, H.; Vezina, C. J. Antibiot. 1975, 28, 727.

(b) Vezina, C.; Kudelski, A.; Sehgal, S. N. J. Antibiot. 1975, 28, 721. (2) (a) Augustine, J. J.; Bodziak, K. A.; Hricik, D. E. Drugs 2007, 67, 369. (b) Saunders, R. N.; Metcalfe, M. S.; Nicholson, M. L. Kidney Int. 2001, 59, 3.

(3) (a) Yeung, A. C.; Leon, M. B.; Jain, A.; Tolleson, T. R.; Spriggs, D. J.; Mc Laurin, B. T.; Popma, J. J.; Fitzgerald, P. J.; Cutlip, D. E.; Massaro, J. M. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2011, 57, 1778. (b) Rensing, B.; Vos, J.; Smits, P.; Foley, D.; Van den Brand, M.; Van der Giessen, W.; De Feijter, P.; Serruys, P. Eur. Heart J. 2001, 22, 2125. (c) Ikonen, T. S.; Gummert, J. F.; Hayase, M.; Honda, Y.; Hausen, B.; Christians, U.; Berry, G. J.; Yock, P. G.; Morris, R. E. Transplantation 2000, 70, 969. (d) Abizaid, A. Vasc. Health Risk Manag. 2007, 3, 191.

(4) (a) Chen, J.; Zheng, X. F.; Brown, E. J.; Schreiber, S. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1995, 92, 4947. (b) Siekierka, J. J.; Hung, S. H. Y.; Poe, M.; Lin, C. S.; Sigal, N. H. Nature 1989, 341, 755.

(5) (a) King, M. A.; Hands, S.; Hafiz, F.; Mizushima, N.; Tolkovsky, A. M.; Wyttenbach, A. Mol. Pharmacol. 2008, 73, 1052. (b) Rangaraju, S.; Verrier, J. D.; Madorsky, I.; Nicks, J.; Dunn, W. A.; Notterpek, L. J. Neurosci. 2010, 30, 11388. (c) Schmelzle, T.; Hall, M. N. Cell 2000, 103, 253.

(6) (a) Noh, W.; Mondesire, W.; Peng, J.; Jian, W.; Zhang, H.; Dong, J.; Mills, G.; Hung, M.; Meric-Bernstam, F. Clin. Cancer Res. 2004, 10, 1013. (b) Witton, C. Breast Cancer Res. 2005, 7, 41.

(7) (a) Ravikumar, B.; Vacher, C.; Berger, Z.; Davies, J. E.; Luo, S.; Oroz, L. G.; Scaravilli, F.; Easton, D. F.; Duden, R.; O'Kane, C. J. Nat. Genet. 2004, 36, 585. (b) Tain, L. S.; Mortiboys, H.; Tao, R. N.; Ziviani, E.; Bandmann, O.; Whitworth, A. J. Nature Neurosci. 2009, 12, 1129. (c) Malagelada, C.; Jin, Z. H.; Jackson-Lewis, V.; Przedborski, S.; Greene, L. A. J. Neurosci. 2010, 30, 1166. (d) Spilman, P.; Podlutskaya, N.; Hart, M. J.; Debnath, J.; Gorostiza, O.; Bredesen, D.; Richardson, A.; Strong, R.; Galvan, V. PloS One 2010, 5, e9979.

(8) (a) Lerner, C.; Bitto, A.; Pulliam, D.; Nacarelli, T.; Konigsberg, M.; Remmen, H.; Torres, C.; Sell, C. Aging Cell 2013, 12, 966. (b) Anisimov, V. N.; Zabezhinski, M. A.; Popovich, I. G.; Piskunova, T. S.; Semenchenko, A. V.; Tyndyk, M. L.; Yurova, M. N.; Antoch, M. P.; Blagosklonny, M. V. Am. J. Pathol. 2010, 176, 2092. (c) Harrison, D. E.; Strong, R.; Sharp, Z. D.; Nelson, J. F.; Astle, C. M.; Flurkey, K.; Nadon, N. L.; Wilkinson, J. E.; Frenkel, K.; Carter, C. S. Nature 2009, 460, 392.

(9) Crespo, J. L.; Hall, M. N. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. R. 2002, 66, 579. (10) (a) Gregory, M. A.; Petkovic, H.; Lill, R. E.; Moss, S. J.; Wilkinson, B.; Gaisser, S.; Leadlay, P. F.; Sheridan, R. M. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4835. (b) Maddess, M. L.; Tackett, M. N.; Watanabe, H.; Brennan, P. E.; Spilling, C. D.; Scott, J. S.; Osborn, D. P.; Ley, S. V. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 597. (c) Ley, S. V.; Tackett, M. N.; Maddess, M. L.; Anderson, J. C.; Brennan, P. E.; Cappi, M. W.; Heer, J. P.; Helgen, C.; Kori, M.; Kouklovsky, C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 2874. (d) Nicolaou, K.; Piscopio, A. D.; Bertinato, P.; Chakraborty, T. K.; Minowa, N.; Koide, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 1995, 1, 318. (e) Goss, R. J.; Lanceron, S.; Deb Roy, A.; Sprague, S.; Nur-e-Alam, M.; Hughes, D. L.; Wilkinson, B.; Moss, S. J. ChemBioChem 2010, 11, 698. (f) Hayward, C. M.; Yohannes, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9345. (g) Nicolaou, K.; Chakraborty, T.; Piscopio, A.; Minowa, N.; Bertinato, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4419. (h) Romo, D.; Meyer, S. D.; Johnson, D. D.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7906. (i) Smith, A. B., III; Condon, S. M.; McCauley, J. A.; Leazer, J. L., Jr.; Leahy, J. W.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5407. (j) Luengo, J. I.; Konialian-Beck, A.; Rozamus, L. W.; Holt, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6512.

(11) (a) Chen, Y.-W.; Smith, M. L.; Sheets, M.; Ballaron, S.; Trevillyan, J. M.; Burke, S. E.; Rosenberg, T.; Henry, C.; Wagner, R.; Bauch, J. J. Cardiovasc. Pharm. 2007, 49, 228. (b) Rini, B. I. Clin. Cancer Res. 2008, 14, 1286. (c) Luengo, J. I.; Yamashita, D. S.; Dunnington, D.; Beck, A. K.; Rozamus, L. W.; Yen, H.-K.; Bossard, M. J.; Levy, M. A.; Hand, A.; Newman-Tarr, T. Chem. Biol. 1995, 2, 471. (d) Chakraborty, T.; Weber, H.; Nicolaou, K. Chem. Biol. 1995, 2, 157. (e) Wu, X.; Wang, L.; Han, Y.; Regan, N.; Li, P.-K.; Villalona, M. A.;

Hu, X.; Briesewitz, R.; Pei, D. ACS Comb. Sci. 2011, 13, 486. (f) Graziani, E. I. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 602.

(12) Ley, S. V.; Abad Somovilla, A.; Broughton, H. B.; Craig, D.; Slawin, A. M.; Toogood, P. L.; Williams, D. J. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2143.

(13) Evans, D. A.; Gage, J. R.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9434.

(14) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277.

(15) (a) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M.; Anne Lister, M.; Schumann, R. C.; McClure, C. K.; Norcross, R. D. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4663.

(b) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4787.

(16) Chen, K.-M.; Hardtmann, G. E.; Prasad, K.; Repič, O.; Shapiro, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 155.

(17) (a) Crimmins, M. T.; Siliphaivanh, P. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4641. (b) Nising, C. F.; Bräse, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1218.

(18) (a) Wadsworth, W. S.; Emmons, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961,

83, 1733. (b) Boutagy, J.; Thomas, R. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74, 87. (c) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863.

(19) Reddy Guduru, S. K.; Chamakuri, S.; Chandrasekar, G.; Kitambi, S. S.; Arya, P. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 666.

(20) Grubbs, R. H.; Miller, S. J.; Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 446.